National College Fed Challenge Judges' Instructions Score Sheet Scoring Rubric

## **Conducting the Competition**

I. Have the students introduce themselves, without mentioning their school's name or Fed District. Judges should then introduce themselves.

**II.** Make the team aware that it will have a maximum of 15 minutes to present, and that the timekeeper (a Fed staff member) will signal them when two minutes remain and when the team must finish.

**III.** The team may now begin its presentation, which should consist of the following elements:

- 1. an analysis of current economic conditions (as of the day of submission); these conditions may include broad macroeconomic conditions as well as conditions experienced in different geographic areas, urban/rural areas, or different demographic and socioeconomic groups, borrowers and savers, etc.
- 2. a forecast of near term economic and financial conditions relevant to the formulation of monetary policy;
- 3. a discussion of significant risks to the economy that should receive special attention in formulating monetary policy; these risks may include the possible effects (positive or negative) of monetary policies on different segments of the population.
- 4. a monetary policy recommendation, encompassing both traditional tools and newer approaches as warranted. Presenters should give supporting reasons for their recommendation.

**IV.** Judges' questions will follow for a maximum of 15 minutes. **Two of the questions should be the same for each team.** The question period is an opportunity to determine the extent to which students are knowledgeable about the Fed, monetary policy, and the information in their presentation. Questions should be of four types:

- Follow-up questions related to data, analyses or recommendations made during the presentation (e.g. What evidence do you have to support your statement that deflation is more of a risk to the U.S. economy than inflation? How might your recommendation affect the economic circumstances of different areas/groups?
- Hypothetical questions (e.g. Suppose the number of new jobs created over the next three months totaled 100,000, would that change your prescription for monetary policy?)
- Questions about macro-economic theory (e.g. how much weight should policy makers give the Phillips Curve?)
- Questions about the Fed (e.g. Once the FOMC reaches it decision, how are policy decisions implemented?)

**V.** Each judge should give a score between 1(lowest) and 10 (highest), in each of the five categories on the score sheet (see p. 4). Consult the scoring grid (see p. 3) for guidance in awarding points in specific categories.

VI. During this competition five teams will make presentations.

VII. After the last presentation, the judges will deliberate to decide the National Champion Team (first place), National Runner-Up Team (second place), and National Honorable Mention to all remaining teams.

## Score Grid

Category	10	8-9	core Grid 5-6-7	3-4	1-2
Category Knowledge of the	Always presents accurate			<ul> <li>3-4</li> <li>Mixes accurate and</li> </ul>	Provides little accurate
Fed, current state of the economy and monetary policy	Always presents accurate information and demonstrates a thorough understanding of basic and sophisticated concepts.	<ul> <li>Consistently presents accurate information and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the basic concepts.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Frequently presents accurate information and demonstrates average understanding of the basic concepts.</li> </ul>	inaccurate information and demonstrates less than average understanding of the basic concepts.	information and demonstrates poor understanding of the basic concepts.
Response to judges' questions	<ul> <li>Always answers to the point and shows poise under pressure.</li> <li>Always demonstrates the ability to think quickly.</li> <li>Extremely persuasive in defending positions that are challenged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistently answers to the point and shows poise under pressure.</li> <li>Consistently demonstrates the ability to think quickly.</li> <li>Convincing in defending positions that are challenged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Frequently answers to the point and shows poise under pressure.</li> <li>Frequently demonstrates the ability to think quickly.</li> <li>Adequately defends positions that are challenged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occasionally answers to the point and shows poise under pressure.</li> <li>Occasionally demonstrates the ability to think quickly.</li> <li>Less that adequately defends positions that are challenged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rarely answers to the point and shows poise under pressure.</li> <li>Rarely demonstrates the ability to think quickly.</li> <li>Provides poor defenses for positions that are challenged.</li> </ul>
Presentation	<ul> <li>Extremely persuasive in advocacy role.</li> <li>Always demonstrates logical and coherent organization.</li> <li>Each student speaks with great confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all.</li> <li>Always integrates audiovisual aids/media appropriately.</li> <li>Never read from notes or a script.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Persuasive in advocacy role.</li> <li>Consistently demonstrates logical and coherent organization.</li> <li>Most students speak with confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all.</li> <li>Consistently integrates audio-visual aids/media appropriately.</li> <li>Rarely read from notes or a script.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Frequently persuasive in advocacy role.</li> <li>Frequently demonstrates logical and coherent organization.</li> <li>Some students speak with confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all.</li> <li>Frequently integrates audio-visual aids/media appropriately.</li> <li>Occasionally read from notes or a script.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occasionally persuasive in advocacy role.</li> <li>Occasionally demonstrates logical and coherent organization.</li> <li>Few students speak with confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all.</li> <li>Occasionally integrates audio-visual aids/media appropriately.</li> <li>Frequently read from notes or script.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rarely persuasive in advocacy role.</li> <li>Rarely demonstrates logical and coherent organization.</li> <li>Students speak with a minimum of confidence and with insufficient volume to be heard by all.</li> <li>Rarely integrates audio- visual aids/media appropriately.</li> <li>Always read from notes or a script.</li> </ul>
Research and analysis	<ul> <li>Conclusions drawn from the data are always logical and insightful.</li> <li>Recommendations are always supported by relevant data.</li> <li>A wide variety of authoritative sources are used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conclusions drawn from the data are, most often, logical and insightful.</li> <li>Recommendations are consistently supported by relevant data.</li> <li>Mostly authoritative sources are used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conclusions drawn from the data frequently are logical and insightful.</li> <li>Recommendations are frequently supported by relevant data.</li> <li>Some authoritative sources are used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conclusions drawn from the data occasionally are logical and insightful.</li> <li>Recommendations are occasionally supported by relevant data.</li> <li>Few authoritative sources are used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conclusions drawn from the data lack logic and insight.</li> <li>Recommendations are rarely supported by relevant data.</li> <li>Authoritative sources are ignored.</li> </ul>
Teamwork and cooperation	<ul> <li>Each team member plays a substantial and integral role.</li> <li>Demonstrates extensive evidence of coordination among team members.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Although some team members play a greater role than others, each student contributes significantly.</li> <li>Demonstrates significant evidence of coordination among team members.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some team members dominate, while the others contribute to varying degrees.</li> <li>Demonstrates some evidence of coordination among team members.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some team members dominate, while the others make modest contributions.</li> <li>Demonstrates little evidence of coordination among team members.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One or two team members dominate, while the others contribute negligibly.</li> <li>Demonstrates insignificant evidence of coordination among team members.</li> </ul>

## Score Sheet

Category	Score (10-1)	Comments (place check marks in the appropriate places)	
Knowledge of the Fed, current state of the		Knowledge of the Fed and monetary policy was <ul> <li>Superior</li> </ul>	
economy and monetary		Good	
policy		□ Sufficient	
		□ Insufficient	
Response to judge's		Responses indicated:	
questions		Exceptional understanding of most content and concepts	
		Good understanding of content and concepts	
		Sufficient understanding of content and concepts	
		Poor understanding of content and concepts	
Presentation		Presentation revealed	
		Excellent organization and use of data	
		Good organization and use of data	
		Uneven organization and use of data	
		Poor organization and use of data	
Research and analysis		Research yielded information that was	
		Extensive and insightful	
		Somewhat thorough and mostly appropriate	
		Basic and at times appropriate	
		Inappropriate and/or mostly incorrect	
Teamwork and		Team demonstrated	
cooperation		Excellent teamwork (all team members played a substantial role	
		Good teamwork	
		Fair teamwork	
		<ul> <li>Poor teamwork (one or two students dominated)</li> </ul>	
Total			